

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/594,322	06/15/2000	Antonio Nevarez	9049.00	8854		
26890 75	590 08/11/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
JAMES M. STOVER			BACKER, FIRMIN			
NCR CORPORATION 1700 SOUTH PATTERSON BLVD, WHQ4			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
DAYTON, OH 45479			3621			
		DATE MAILED: 08/11/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<del></del>			
Office Action Summary		Applica	pplication No. Applicant(s)			
		09/594,	322	NEVAREZ, ANTO	ONIO	
		Examin	er	Art Unit		
			BACKER	3621	<u> </u>	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	ation appears on t	he cover sheet with	the correspondence a	ddress	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIN IN TH	ILING DATE OF 3 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no onication. Itory period will apply and Ill, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICA event, however, may a repl will expire SIX (6) MONTH pplication to become ABAN	ATION.  ly be timely filed  IS from the mailing date of this NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b Since this application is in condition fo closed in accordance with the practice	o)⊠ This action is or allowance excep	ot for formal matter	•	ne merits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 1-11 and 13-26 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-11 and 13-26 is/are rejected Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction Papers	withdrawn from c	consideration.			
_	•	<b>5</b>				
10)	The specification is objected to by the I The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the sath or declaration is objected to be	a) accepted or I on to the drawing(s) ne correction is requ	) be held in abeyance uired if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 C	* <del>*</del>	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Sur	nmary (PTO-413)		
2)  Notic 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC-1449 or PTr No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/I	Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PT	ГО-152)	

Art Unit: 3621

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11 and 13-26 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-11 and 13-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Keene et al (U.S. PG Pub No. 2004/0049294 A1).
- 4. As per claim 1, Keene et al teach a method for use in improving profitability of one or more business entities, comprising receiving business-related data (objects associated with business document), from at least two unrelated business entities (businesses), where the business related data describes one or more aspect of the operations of each of the business entities; storing at least some of the business-related data from each of the business entities in a common database (information retention system, 138). Keene further teach a system wherein in

Art Unit: 3621

accordance with the terms of an agreement (business agreement) among the business entities (among business partners), allowing at least one of the business entities to receive information (confidential information) gathered from the common database where the information includes data describing some aspect of a business relationship between at least one of the business entities and another entity that is not party to the agreement (see paragraphs 0018-0022, 0024, 0048, 0050, 0053).

- 5. As per claim 2, Keene et al teach a method where allowing one of the business entities to receive information gathered from the common database includes gathering at least some of the information from data received from a business entity other than the one receiving the information (see paragraphs 0018-0022, 0024, 0048, 0050, 0053).
- 6. As per claim 3, Keene et al teach a method where allowing one of the business entities to receive information gathered from the common database includes granting that business entity permission to gather the information directly from the common database (see paragraphs 0018-0022, 0024, 0048, 0050, 0053).
- 7. As per claim 4, Keene et al teach a method where allowing one of the business entities to receive information gathered from the common database includes gathering the information on behalf of the business entity and then delivering the information to the business entity (see paragraphs 0018-0022, 0024, 0048, 0050, 0053).

Art Unit: 3621

8. As per claim 5, Keene et al teach a method where storing data in the common database includes storing the data in a database maintained by an entity in the business of providing data warehousing services (see paragraphs 0018-0022, 0024, 0048, 0050, 0053).

- 9. As per claim 6, Keene et al teach a method of granting unrestricted access to the common database only to a third party named in the agreement among the business entities (see paragraphs 0018-0022, 0024, 0048, 0050, 0053).
- 10. As per claim 7, Keene et al teach a method where storing data in the common database includes storing the data in a scalable data warehouse (see paragraphs 0018-0022, 0024, 0048, 0050, 0053).
- 11. As per claim 8 and 9, Keene et al teach a method where storing data in the common database include storing the data in a warehouse having a total capacity of at least approximately one to hundreds terabyte (see paragraphs 0018-0022, 0024, 0048, 0050, 0053).
- 12. As per claim 10, Keene et al teach a method where receiving business-related data includes receiving data that describes the customers of at least one of the business entities (see paragraphs 0018-0022, 0024, 0048, 0050, 0053).

Art Unit: 3621

13. As per claim 11, Keene et al teach a method where receiving business-related data includes receiving data that describes the products offered by at least one of the business entities (see paragraphs 0018-0022, 0024, 0048, 0050, 0053).

- 14. As per claim 13, Keene et al teach a method where receiving business-related data includes receiving consumer data and product data from the business entities (see paragraphs 0018-0022, 0024, 0048, 0050, 0053).
- 15. As per claim 14, Keene et al teach a method where receiving business-related data includes occasionally receiving new data from the business entities (see paragraphs 0018-0022, 0024, 0048, 0050, 0053).
- 16. As per claim 15 and 16, Keene et al teach a method where receiving business-related data includes receiving data that is also stored in a private data warehouse maintained by/on behalf one of the business entities under a data-warehouse services agreement (see paragraphs 0018-0022, 0024, 0048, 0050, 0053).
- 17. As per claim 17, Keene et al teach a method of negotiating the agreement among the business entities in the group (see paragraphs 0018-0022, 0024, 0048, 0050, 0053).
- 18. As per claim 18, Keene et al teach a method for use in operating a consortium among a number of distinct and unrelated business entities, the method comprising: collecting business

Page 6

Art Unit: 3621

related data gathered by the unrelated business entities, where the business-related data describes one or more aspects of the operations of each of the business entities, and delivering at least some of the extracted information to each of the business entities in the consortium, a system of applying an agreement negotiated among the entities for controlling the business-related data in a shared data warehouse, extracting information from the pooled data in accordance with terms of the negotiated agreement, where the information includes data describing some aspect of a business relationship between al least one of the business entities and another entity that is not party to the agreement. (see paragraphs 0018-0022, 0024, 0048, 0050, 0053).

- 19. As per claim 19, Keene et al teach a method that included pooling the data into a data warehousing system owned by a third party who does not contribute any of the pooled data (see paragraphs 0018-0022, 0024, 0048, 0050, 0053).
- 20. As per claim 20, Keene et al teach a method of pooling the data into a data warehousing system owned by a third party who is in the business of providing data warehousing services (see paragraphs 0018-0022, 0024, 0048, 0050, 0053).
- 21. As per claim 21-23, Keene et al teach a method of applying an agreement drafted to include terms governing the types of data to be placed in the shared data warehouse, access to the shared data warehouse, types of information can be extracted from the shared data warehouse (see paragraphs 0018-0022, 0024, 0048, 0050, 0053).

Art Unit: 3621

22. As per claim 24, Keene et al teach a method applying an agreement drafted to include terms providing for payment of money in exchange for services provided by a third party selected to maintaining the shared data warehouse (see paragraphs 0018-0022, 0024, 0048, 0050, 0053)..

23. As per claims 25 and 26, Keene et al teach a computer network comprising one or more channels for use in receiving business-related data from at least two unrelated business entities where the business-related data describes one or more aspects of the operations of each of the business entities and a shared comprising a storage facility in which at least some of the business-relaxed data received from each of the business entities is stored a mechanism for use in; and deliver the information to at least one of the business entities, a system of granting database access only in accordance with the terms of an agreement among the business entities; and a computer system configured to gather information from the shared database when instructed to do so by a party having access to the database, where the information includes data described some aspect of a business relationship between at least one of the business entities and another entity that is not party to the agreement (see paragraphs 0018-0022, 0024, 0048, 0050, 0053).

#### Conclusion

24. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. (see form 892).

Art Unit: 3621

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FIRMIN BACKER whose telephone number is 571-272-6703. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on (571) 272-6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272/1000.

FIRMIN BACKER
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3621

July 27, 2006